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Introduction

Over the last few years, the Brotherhood of St Laurence (BSL) has been working closely with residents of local public housing estates in Fitzroy and Collingwood to help them gain employment. This work has involved working with state and federal governments and has been strongly supported by the Victorian Government’s Neighbourhood Renewal strategy.

The BSL’s approach to employment assistance in inner Melbourne has grown out of its experience on the ground. We work with long-term unemployed people with multiple barriers to employment: most have been out of work for more than two years, few have post-secondary educational qualifications, and there are often other issues such as health or personal problems. The BSL approach to working with this group includes several dimensions: community engagement, work experience, personal support, pre-vocational training, job placement and post-placement support, and accredited training qualifications through traineeships. We believe this ‘mixed’ model is both necessary and effective when working with people with multiple barriers to employment.

This approach requires significant time, resources and expertise and the ability to bring together resources from many different government programs. We believe the success of our work has some important implications for developing more effective employment assistance systems for long-term unemployed people.

Research on labour market programs

Much of the debate about labour market programs over the 1990s has been concerned with the relative effectiveness of two discrete program approaches, the ‘labour force attachment’ and ‘human capital’ models. The labour force attachment (LFA) or ‘work-first’ approach emphasised immediate job search and was based on the idea that the best training for paid employment was gained ‘on the job’. In contrast, the human development (HD) or education model emphasised training and education, rather than immediate immersion in job search and the first available job, as important first steps to long-term sustainable employment (Theodore & Peck 2001).

The GAIN program evaluation

The LFA model gained credibility as the more effective approach due in large part to its success in the Californian Greater Avenues to Independence (GAIN) program in the late 1980s. In this program different approaches were trialed in four Californian counties, with random assignment to treatment and control condition. It was evaluated by Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation (MDRC) who examined employment outcomes for people for three years after they entered the program (Riccio & Friedlander 1992; Riccio, Friedlander & Freedman 1994).

Riverside county emphasised the work-first approach, while the others, especially Alameda and Los Angeles, emphasised training. In addition, Riverside and San Diego county programs worked with all people on the welfare rolls, while the Alameda and Los Angeles programs worked with people who had been on the books for longer and therefore were more likely to have more barriers to employment.

In the three years following entry into the program, Riverside had a 39 per cent increase in people employed at some point during the year compared with control groups, and San Diego had an 11 per cent increase. Programs in the other two counties showed no difference in employment of participants compared with control groups. MDRC concluded that the Riverside program, and the work-first model generally, was the most effective approach.
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Short-term versus long-term results

There have been some concerns about the validity of the evaluation of the GAIN program. In particular, the MDRC evaluation has been criticised for its relatively short follow-up period, which naturally tended to show the work-first approach as more successful (Theodore & Peck 2001), and a lack of attention to longer term outcomes.

The US National Bureau of Economic Research re-analysed the results of the GAIN programs over a longer time frame of nine years (Hotz, Imbens & Klerman 2000). This study replicated the findings of the first MDRC program, that the Riverside county had the best short-term outcomes.

However, the results of the Riverside program were not sustained: between seven and nine years later, there was no statistically significant difference between ‘treatment’ and control groups at all. On the other hand, the Los Angeles county program (which included more training and education) had little impact in the short term, but resulted in an 11 per cent increase in employment over nine years.

In other words, the work-first approach had better short-term outcomes but these declined over time, while programs focused on long-term unemployed which included a substantial training component had better sustainable employment outcomes.

Need for a ‘life-first’ approach

MDRC in the USA has been one of the most prominent advocates for a ‘work-first’ approach. However, after reviewing the most successful programs, it conceded that a ‘mixed approach—one that blends both employment search and education or training—might be the most effective’ (Hamilton, Freedman, Gennetian et al., 2001, p.3).

This view echoes what Dean (2003) has called a ‘life-first’ approach to welfare to work policy for disadvantaged job seekers: one which includes attention to physical and mental health, housing, education and family issues, as well as more immediate employment goals.

Others have also come to this conclusion. Research shows that people facing multiple barriers require support to overcome or manage the personal and social problems they face, in addition to vocational support (Pavetti et al. 1997), and that, to be effective, this assistance must provide a range of personalised interventions, be resource intensive and be provided over a longer time period (ESU 1998; European Foundation 2002).

While overseas research may provide some guidance for Australian policy makers, we need to keep in mind the very different contexts in which programs operate. For example, the rate of imprisonment in the USA is around seven times higher than in other comparable countries and some have argued that this serves as an alternative welfare system (Irvine & Xu 2002) which makes direct comparisons more difficult.

The BSL’s work in Fitzroy and Collingwood, described below, combines elements of the work-first and human capital models with a strong personal support role more in keeping with the ‘life-first’ approach.
The Brotherhood of St Laurence experience in Fitzroy and Collingwood

The Brotherhood’s work with housing estate residents in Fitzroy and Collingwood

The BSL has been working closely with residents of local public housing estates in Fitzroy and Collingwood to help them gain employment. Residents of the Atherton Gardens Public Housing Estate experience high levels of economic and social disadvantage. Ninety-five per cent of the 2000 residents are on income support, 26 per cent are single parents, 17 per cent are on disability support and 24 per cent are on Newstart Allowance. It is a culturally and ethnically diverse community with 39 per cent Vietnamese, 11 per cent Chinese, 4 per cent Turkish, 10 per cent other ethnic groups and the rest of English-speaking background. The Collingwood Public Housing Estate has similar resident demographics. The high level of unemployment suggests a service gap in these disadvantaged communities.

Over the last eighteen months the BSL successfully worked with 103 people through tailor-made pre-employment programs and traineeships within government, business and not for profit organisations. This group was quite diverse:

- 17 per cent were aged under 20 years of age, 25 per cent aged 20–30, 33 per cent aged 30–40 and 25 per cent over 40.
- 66 per cent were female.
- 66 per cent were receiving Newstart Allowance, 15 per cent were on Parenting Payment Single, 10 per cent on Disability Support Pension and 9 per cent were on some other benefit.
- 75 per cent had been out of work for more than two years.

Key themes

To produce quality sustainable outcomes, the following themes are central to our program delivery:

- **Setting a tangible goal** – an identified job or learning opportunity
- **Intensive support** – programs delivered within a supportive environment and ongoing relationships maintained with residents
- **Commitment** – from all key stakeholders and residents.

Resources

The key resources used in the project included the staff, funds for work experience, and infrastructure costs (office space, public meeting and advertising expenses). Funding to enable participants to undertake 15 weeks paid work experience was provided through the State Government Community Jobs Program. The staff were:

- **Employment and Learning Coordinator** (a State Government Neighbourhood Renewal funded position) – manages employment and learning strategic development and streamlines service delivery
- **Social Support Worker** – a community development worker with an employment focus who also provides ongoing personal and family support
- **Trainer** – delivers both pre-vocational and certificate training
- **Project Development Worker** – sources funding and develops employment and learning projects
- **Field Officer** – supports the participants and host employers during the traineeship phase and coordinates certificate training.
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Achievements
To date the outcomes include:
- 24 people placed in the Office of Housing work and training program
- 20 people placed in entry-level government traineeships
- 14 people employed in the estate cleaning companies as a result of the insertion of a Public Tenant Employment Clause in the Department of Human Services commercial cleaning and gardening contracts
- 6 people to be employed in a not-for-profit cleaning company established by the BSL and the Adult Multicultural Education Service
- 27 people placed in aged care and child-care services which have experienced difficulty in recruiting to entry-level positions
- 12 young people placed in youth traineeships within government and community organisations.

Crucial aspects of service delivery

Our experience has shown that there are several crucial aspects to working with disadvantaged communities.

Community engagement

We know that unemployed people living in disadvantaged communities want to work if the opportunities are real and well supported. To be engaged in labour market programs, people need to trust that service deliverers are committed to the community and will deliver effective programs that will lead to a job. They will then take the risk and engage with services, knowing that irrespective of personal issues, they will be supported in their endeavour to gain work. The BSL has earned the trust and respect of the residents of the Atherton Gardens and Collingwood Estate communities. We have a presence in the community through our service delivery, our involvement in community governance and our auspicing of the Employment and Learning Coordinator (ELC) State Government Neighbourhood Renewal initiative, which resulted directly from a need identified by the community to focus on increasing employment and learning opportunities.

Intensive support

To move from long-term unemployment to employment is difficult. A supportive delivery model that assists with personal issues in addition to work skill development is crucial.

The BSL’s Support Worker works one-on-one with individuals to manage personal issues whilst maintaining their training schedule and employment placements. If participants already have a case manager, we encourage the maintenance of this relationship. If participants need support and are not linked to an appropriate support service, we assist them with this connection—for example to drug and alcohol support services, legal aid, family support counselling and child-care. We may also advocate on their behalf when dealing with Centrelink, housing issues and utilities such as telephone and electricity services.

Family issues and crises can affect attendance at work, so the Support Worker is sometimes asked to support or provide counselling to other family members. Providing this individual support and coordinating services often required by residents greatly enhances the prospect of sustainable employment and learning outcomes. The Support Worker is known and trusted by residents and acts as a ‘bridge’ between residents and employment or training programs. The position also allows the team to maintain long-term contact with people, helping them maintain an employment focus if they again become unemployed.
Pre-vocational training

Supportive pre-vocational training, offering a combination of work skills and personal development skills such as communication, team building and conflict resolution, is crucial to the successful transition from unemployment to sustainable employment. A flexible and rich program enables people to establish daily work routines, manage their personal issues whilst maintaining their training/work placement schedule and confirm their interest in employment.

Our success is based on providing a holistic training program attending to both curriculum delivery and an individual’s specific needs and circumstances in areas such as numeracy and literacy, culture, health and wellbeing. As a Registered Training Organisation, the BSL delivers accredited training through all our pre-employment programs, providing people with a nationally recognised qualification and the opportunity to continue learning through a traineeship or other educational options. Each program offers a Trainer and participants continue to have access to the Support Worker. The Trainer coordinates the training and works with group dynamics whilst the Support Worker works with individuals, and family members if requested.

Work experience

Work experience has multiple benefits. It enables people to ‘learn on the job’ within a supportive work environment, increases self-esteem and confidence, re-acquaints long-term unemployed people with a daily work routine and employer expectations and provides the experience required when applying for work. Any personal or workplace issues can be worked through during work placement and support provided to find solutions to assist with job sustainability. We provide work experience through pre-vocational training (which includes BSL and industry placement) and supported Intermediate Labour Market opportunities (explained further below).

Traineeships

Linking people into traineeships opens up a number of opportunities. Trainees gain full certificates and crucial support is continued on the job. Support for and coordination of the certificate training is provided by the Field Officer. Because we provide intensive traineeship support to both the trainee and the employer, we have a retention rate of approximately 90 per cent. In our experience, people value encouragement and support to look beyond entry-level jobs. The experience gained by people through the pre-employment training program and traineeships can act as a stepping stone not only to immediate job opportunities but also to career development.

Post-placement support

We have identified that strategic post-placement support directly affects job retention; so the support provided at the pre-employment stage needs to continue when people get a job. The period immediately following the start of a new job is crucial: dealing with the costs of travel and clothing, arranging child-care, getting used to a work routine and sorting out Centrelink payment changes can be difficult and stressful. Post-placement support for both the employee and the employer greatly enhances a smooth transition to work and continuing employment. It is also provides a ‘safety net’ for people who become unemployed again and offers further support with access to alternative employment, training or support service options. A Support Worker is instrumental in this process.

The typical interventions for a long-term unemployed person over an eighteen-month period are shown in Figure 1 below. The length of time for each activity varies according to the individual’s needs, and support may continue for much longer (for example, traineeships may be two or three years).
A whole of government approach

Increasing economic participation in disadvantaged communities is challenging. Individual organisations or government departments cannot work alone. The BSL works with federal and state government departments and uses several different funding streams for its work. These include Commonwealth Department of Education, Science and Technology (DEST) Group Training Organisation funding, New Apprenticeships Access Programme (NAAP), Apprenticeship and Traineeship Training Program (ATTP) training income and the Community Jobs Program (CJP); in addition the BSL makes use of donations from BSL supporters.

Funded by the Office of Housing, Victorian Department of Human Services, the Neighbourhood Renewal initiative has been an important driver for change. It has invested in disadvantaged communities such as the Atherton Gardens and Collingwood Estates, adopted a whole of government approach and worked with community and residents to increase employment, training and education opportunities. Working collaboratively has mutually benefited local residents, the BSL and the Victorian Government by increasing our collective knowledge of the community and ways to increase employment and learning opportunities. We believe partnership between the three tiers of government, community and business to plan, implement and continuously improve these programs is vital to making them effective.
Cost of the BSL model

We have estimated the cost of implementing the BSL model with 100 participants (mostly with no post-secondary qualifications and unemployed for two years or more) based on the following parameters:

- Participants stayed in the program for around eighteen months.
- The BSL is both a Group Training Organisation and a Registered Training Organisation and hence is eligible for DEST traineeship and training funding.
- Of the 100 participants, 50 undertook paid work experience (funded by the Community Jobs Program) and 50 undertook unpaid pre-vocational training (funded through the NAAP scheme).
- The BSL delivered all ATTP training to clients during the traineeship period.

The estimated base cost per person of the 18-month program, including paid work experience for half the participants, was around $10,000 per person.

Funding for this work came from several government programs and funding streams:

- DEST Group Training Organisation Performance Funding
- NAAP Training Income
- ATTP Training Income
- Community Jobs Program.

However, these sources do not cover the whole cost of the program. We estimate that the funding from current government programs, plus revenues earned from host employers (as a Group Training Organisation), amount to approximately $9,000 per person, leaving a shortfall of around $1,000 per person. Including establishment costs, the BSL has invested about $150,000 of its donated funds over the eighteen months the program has operated. Introducing the model elsewhere would require additional funding to cover this component. Moreover, funds or places for some programs (such as the State Government CJP places or NAAP places) are quite restricted: to expand or replicate the model, extra places would have to be made available.

There are several other federal government employment programs which might contribute to the approach described. Below we briefly discuss the main programs which could be used to fund this model, and what we consider to be some of their strengths and weaknesses.
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Strengths and limitations of federal programs

Job Network

The Job Network is the main employment assistance program funded by the federal government. Employment services provided through the previous Job Network (JN) contract were evaluated by the Department of Employment and Workplace Relations, which found that JN services had only a very small effect in improving employment outcomes for long-term unemployed people (DEWR 2002). In an attempt to focus greater attention on assistance to this group, the current contractual arrangements have been modified by requiring JN providers to have more regular contact with clients, and by altering the outcome payment regime to provide a higher weighting for those who had been unemployed for longer periods. There is also a component of funding which must be spent directly on the job seeker (the Job Seeker Account) which varies with duration of unemployment and employment barriers.

While it is difficult to measure the overall effect of these changes, feedback from some JN providers suggests they may be less effective in improving assistance to long-term unemployed people than was hoped. JN staff report being under great pressure to meet administrative requirements, especially for the Job Seeker Account, and having little time for more intensive involvement with those who need it. Some managers report that even with the funding changes, most services are financially stretched and there is still a strong incentive to focus on clients with the greatest likelihood of gaining employment in order to remain financially viable, or in the case of the private sector, profitable. There seems little connection between JN services and formal training through TAFE or traineeships. The amount of funds spent to provide work experience is uncertain: anecdotally staff talk about work ‘trials’ of one or two weeks to give the employer and potential employee time to ‘size each other up’, but longer work experience placements to enable the development of skills on the job seem rare.

JN providers receive the major payment ($4400 for someone unemployed for more than 36 months) for an outcome of 13 weeks employment and a further smaller payment ($2200) at 26 weeks employment (these figures apply when a client receives no social security payments throughout the period). This funding structure, even though an improvement from the previous contract, still tends to direct provider behaviour towards getting long-term unemployed people into short-term jobs as quickly as possible. In effect, this reflects the ‘work-first’ model demonstrated in the GAIN programs.

We believe that for long-term unemployed people, the Job Network funding model needs further alteration to promote investment for sustainable employment over the long term. The Job Seeker Account has enabled providers to spend more on up-front costs, but most of the funding is still received after an employment outcome. There is still considerable uncertainty about the returns of up-front investment in terms of employment outcomes and the timing for when this investment may ‘pay off’; and substantial investment seems rare. As Barr (2001) argues, this is an unsurprising result predicted by economic models which take into account imperfect information: investors tend to be risk averse where results are uncertain.

In this case, some intervention by the funding authority is necessary to promote greater investment, possibly by moving a greater proportion of funding from outcomes into the Job Seeker Account. However, the requirements of the JN contract mean that many of the resources of JN agencies are taken up with administrative tasks and regular (usually brief) contacts with a large group of clients (per case manager). These activities may or may not be helpful, but they do not easily fit into the BSL model described above.
**Work for the Dole**

The Work for the Dole (WfD) program aims to ‘develop work habits in young people’, ‘involve the local community’, and ‘provide communities with quality projects’ (Nevile & Nevile 2003). It provides ‘work experience and the opportunity to gain skills such as teamwork, problem solving, leadership, work processes, organisation and networking’ (DEWR 2003). Undertaking a ‘mutual obligation’ activity is obligatory after a certain period (usually six months) on unemployment benefits. People must choose from a range of activities that include volunteer work, education, work for the dole, relocation, a training program such as literacy and numeracy, or developing job search skills. If a person aged 18–39 does not choose an activity, they are automatically assigned to ‘Work for the Dole’. About 60 per cent of people undertaking mutual obligation requirements are enrolled in WfD. They are located in an agency for two days per week for six months and work on structured tasks such as web design or land care. They receive an additional $20.80 per fortnight.

There are 65,000 places funded nationally, and the community agencies which offer places receive around $2,500 per person. Two recent evaluations of WfD found contrasting, although not necessarily inconsistent, results of the program. Nevile and Nevile (2003) found that participants enjoyed the experience of the program, but a separate evaluation found that participants in WfD were less likely to find employment than job seekers with the same characteristics who did not participate in WfD (Borland & Tseng 2003).

Two specific limitations of the current program are its poor links to formal training and the fact that much of the experience gained by participants is not clearly connected to employment opportunities. We believe WfD should have a clear focus on gaining employment outcomes for participants, rather than the vaguer notion of ‘developing work habits’.

The WfD program could provide better assistance if it was restructured to offer full-time work experience at award rates, for between 13 and 26 weeks. This should be in areas with greater likelihood of employment at the end of the placement (i.e. more closely tied to employment areas with skill shortages or higher vacancy rates).

**Green Corps**

Green Corps is a Commonwealth Government ‘youth development program offering people aged 17–20 the opportunity to receive quality training while participating in projects that contribute to significant environmental and cultural heritage initiatives’ (Family and Community Services 2004). Participants are based at a community or government agency and work on environmental projects such as wildlife surveys or data collection, land care and bush regeneration for 26 weeks. Participants are paid an allowance from $189 to $361 per week (rates roughly equivalent to the national training wage), depending on level of secondary schooling reached and years since leaving school. They receive a Conservation and Land Management Certificate I upon successful completion.

Green Corps includes many positive features, particularly the combination of accredited training, work experience, a training wage and the development of on-the -job skills. Its main limitations are the fairly narrow age range (17–20) and the restriction to environmental work.

**Personal Support Programme**

The Personal Support Programme (PSP) provides individualised support to people with significant barriers to employment, such as physical and mental health problems, drug or alcohol abuse and homelessness. The program aims to help people overcome these barriers in order to be able to focus on employment or education, but it does not have a primary focus on getting people into work.
Funding for PSP provides an average of $980 per person per year (Family and Community Services 2003). Providers are eligible for a total payment of $3300 if someone stays in the program for two years, but dropout rates mean that services do not receive this for all clients. In order to be financially viable, PSP providers carry caseloads of between 55 and 70 per worker, and have virtually no discretionary funds available. There were 26,000 places across Australia in 2002–03, projected to rise to 45,000 in the current financial year (2003–04). Clients of PSP do not have to meet the Centrelink activity test, and are not eligible for Job Network assistance except for a six-month transition period when they are leaving PSP.

The strengths of the PSP are its focus on customised assistance, its holistic approach which considers a wide range of barriers, and the clients’ perception that workers are there to provide support rather than to pressure them into activities they may not feel able to undertake. Its low levels of funding, however, limit effectiveness. It is possible that, since it does not have employment as a primary goal, it may delay entry to employment, and some (e.g. Hanover Welfare Services 2003) have argued that PSP could be more effective if it was better funded and more closely linked to employment, training and work experience programs.

**New Apprenticeships**

Apprenticeships and traineeships provide access to accredited qualifications through a combination of formal training and on-the-job experience and learning. Apprentices/trainees can be employed on a full-time or part-time basis (subject to appropriate industrial relations arrangements), and training incorporates flexible learning strategies (i.e. not just formal classroom lessons).

The New Apprenticeships Access Programme targets disadvantaged job seekers. It provides access to pre-vocational training and is intended to facilitate access to traineeships for this group. The BSL receives NAAP funding for some of its work and it is a useful component of our approach. However NAAP participants do not get access to paid work experience.

The application process for service providers is also very time-consuming, which means there are high transaction costs per participant. One BSL manager, for example, recently spent a whole day on an application for four places. The amount of time spent in these administrative tasks when attempting to combine funding from several sources adds considerable cost, and so discourages coordination across programs. Traineeships generally tend to be disconnected from other programs such as JN and PSP. As a consequence, many people coming through these latter programs do not gain access to accredited training.
Key lessons for success

In considering the potential of federal programs in replicating the BSL model, it is important to highlight the main lessons which we believe contribute to the success of the program. These are outlined below.

Developing intermediate labour markets

Labour market programs need to address the problems people face in gaining a job after lengthy unemployment due to employers’ concerns about the risks of taking on someone without a recent record of good work habits. Intermediate labour markets (ILMs) have evolved to overcome some of these risks (Policy Action Team 1999). ILMs are usually non-government organisations which act as the employer, providing work experience and training, and in some cases arranging placements with public or private sector employers. Employees are expected to meet the normal work requirements, although with some leeway for ‘learning on the job’. The BSL has successfully implemented the ILM model on a small scale by using its status as a Group Training Organisation to employ trainees from disadvantaged backgrounds, but funding is fragmented, and often inadequate, and it takes substantial organisational resources to make such programs work.

Taking time

People do not go from long-term unemployment to a sustainable job overnight. They need time to learn new skills and habits, to build confidence, to deal with personal or health problems and to fit into new work environments. A patient, supportive approach has better long-term outcomes than a simple ‘work-first’ approach for this group. Employment program funding needs to be structured to allow services to invest time with people, for the sake of lasting results.

Investing in people

Current government funding for employment assistance is inadequate to meet the needs of the most disadvantaged clients. Yet our experience shows that additional spending pays off handsomely. Over the last two years, the BSL has found jobs for around 100 long-term unemployed people in Fitzroy, all of whom were on income support. We have had to invest around $100,000 per year of our own funds from donations on top of funding from government programs, but the saving to government from reduced social security payments is about $1 million for this group. Greater funding for targeted programs would be effective and cost-efficient. The funding structure for some services must also be amended to ensure that resources are available to pay for investment up front—i.e. funding the inputs and not just the outcomes—and in the case of JN, that some thought is given to varying the types of activities required by the funding contract.

Multiple and concurrent forms of help

As described above, the BSL approach uses several types of assistance. In some cases, these may follow each other sequentially, but more often, people require different types of help at the same time. There are several federal government programs which provide funding for these activities but they tend to be disconnected and uncoordinated. Some have explicit guidelines which prevent participation in more than one program at once (for example JN and PSP except for the ‘transition period’). We believe the system would be more effective if these programs were ‘joined up’ for job seekers with the greatest barriers to employment, an approach also suggested by others (e.g. Hanover Welfare Services 2003).
The way forward

The model described above which has been successfully implemented by the BSL could be adopted more widely by using some currently available programs and structures, in addition to some extra resourcing.

Group Training Organisations (GTOs) are a form of ILM which is quite widespread across Australia: there are about 170 GTOs covering many industries, although they tend to be concentrated in industries traditionally covered by apprenticeships (e.g. construction, automotive), rather than traineeships. GTOs were established in the 1980s to increase the number of apprenticeship positions available by recruiting and placing apprentices with host employers. GTOs are the employing body for trainees placed with host employers who would have been unable to employ an apprentice or trainee in their own right due to costs or complexity of administration.

GTOs could provide a vehicle (possibly in partnership with other agencies) through which the model outlined here could be replicated. Some GTOs are also Job Network employment agencies and most are Registered Training Organisations (Office of Training and Tertiary Education 2004). The BSL, for example, has a GTO (named STEP) as well as being a registered training organisation. The BSL is also a Job Network provider, although in the past it has been difficult to find ways to connect JN resources with the program described here, partly due to the JN contract requirements.

An important addition to the GTO model is the need for concurrent access to employment assistance, work experience, training and personal support. Most current programs establish formal time limits for service provision. With some exceptions, these programs emphasise an either/or approach. Clients often need concurrent assistance, however, and while not all of these activities may occur at the same time, greater flexibility and capacity for connection are necessary.

We propose a new approach which would ‘join up’ existing programs and resources for a discrete group. A program for long-term unemployed people (more than 2 years unemployed or on benefits) would combine access to JN, PSP, WfD or Green Corps, and traineeships.

This would require an agreement across the Commonwealth Departments of Employment and Workplace Relations, Family and Community Services (FaCS), and Education and Science and Training to a common funding framework for a specific program targeting long-term unemployed people. Each Department would allocate a certain number of places from its existing programs as a cross-departmental initiative. Tenders would be sought from organisations able to provide all of these programs either individually or as part of a consortium. This approach would allow for an 18–24 month program for each participant, but would need to include funding for substantial ‘input costs’ as well as retaining some outcome payments.

Links with Job Placement Organisations would be important to ensure that the work experience and training provided would be in areas in which there were employment opportunities on completion.

The Work for the Dole program would be restructured to provide work experience at minimum wages or the national training wage (possibly along the lines of the Green Corps Program). It would be important to allow some flexibility in duration, say between 13 and 26 weeks, and the possibility of part-time work experience. During this period, the job seeker would remain eligible for benefits and concession card but would not receive any payment due to the application of the income test (unless working part-time).

Since real employment opportunities at the end of the process are very important, some consideration needs to be given to ways that these can be made more accessible to long-term unemployed people. This may be through employer subsidies or by using government and non-government agencies as ILMs in a more targeted manner. This would be particularly relevant in areas with emerging skill shortages.
Recommendations

The Brotherhood of St Laurence recommends that:

- the federal government develop a new approach to assistance to long-term unemployed people which combines personal support, paid work experience, pre-vocational training, employment assistance, traineeships and post-placement support.

- the Department of Employment and Workplace Relations, the Department of Family and Community Services and the Department of Education and Science and Training agree to create a unified program targeting long-term unemployed people (more than 2 years unemployed).

- each Department provide a certain number of places from the existing JN, PSP, WfD, Green Corps, and traineeships programs specifically for this program. Clients would be able to access this assistance concurrently.

- additional funding be made available to the three Departments to enable these places to be allocated to this program.

- DEWR, FACS and DEST coordinate a joint tender process for providers (either singly or as a consortium) to run this program by combining the JN, PSP, WfD, Green Corps, and traineeships programs for the target group along the lines of the model outlined on pages 4–8 of this report.

- the Work for the Dole program be amended to provide full-time work experience for up to 6 months at the minimum wage, similar to the Green Corps program.

- funding for the Job Network component of this program be amended to provide a greater proportion of the money up front, possibly through the Job Seeker Account.

- the federal government conduct a trial of the proposed approach in several sites before implementing the program nationally.
Helping local people get jobs
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